WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Ryan Rodell Haskie			odell Haskie	Case Number:	13-04354M-001-PCT-MEA	
	ordance tablished		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	e(f), a detention hearing has	been held. I conclude that the following facts	
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendance the defendance to the community and require the detention of the defendance to the community and require the detention of the defendance to the community and require the detention of the defendance to the community and require the detention of the defendance to the community and require the detention of the defendance to the community and require the detention of the defendance to the community and require the detention of the defendance to the community and require the detention of the defendance to the community and require the detention of the defendance to the community and require the detention of the defendance to the community and require the detention of the defendance to the community and require the detention of the defendance to the community and require the detention of the defendance to the community and require the detention of the defendance to the community and require the detention of the defendance to the					
	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
			PARTI	FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed				
			a drug offense for which a maxin §§ 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or 46	num term of imprisonment of U.S.C. App. § 1901 et sec	of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C.	
			an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 9	24(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).		
			an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2 imprisonment of ten years or mo		mes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of	
			an offense involving a minor victi	m prescribed in	1	
	(2)	The def	fendant has not rebutted the prens will reasonably assure the app	esumption established by to be arance of the defendant a	finding 1 that no condition or combination of as required and the safety of the community.	
			Alt	ernative Findings		
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).				
	(4)					
			PART II WRITTEN STAT	EMENT OF REASONS FO	OR DETENTION	
	(1) I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the as to danger that:				ring establish by clear and convincing evidence	
					-	

Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnaping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2244(a)(1)(abusive sexual contact. § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

Case 3:13-mj-04354-MEA Document 9 Filed 10/24/13 Page 2 of 2

(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
×	The defendant has insufficient resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
	There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
×	The defendant is facing a maximum of one year.
The de None.	fendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
In addi A peti detaine	tion to revoke defendant's probation in Cr.12-08178-PCT-PGR has been filed and in which defendant has been

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 23rd day of October, 2013.

United States Magistrate Judge